Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/909,186	TAJIMA, HIDEJI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul S. Hyun	1743
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Paul S. Hyun</u> .	(3)	
(2) Priscilla Ferguson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 June 2006	Time: <u>11:30 AM EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Xestibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 25, 28, 43 and 45 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set 	on. The examiner will provide a wri	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims discussed contained allowable subject matter but were not in condition for allowance due to grammatical and 35 U.S.C. 112 issues. The interview was conducted to overcome the minor issues. The issues were corrected by means of an Examiner's Amendment.